

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/614,882 07/09/2003 Akifumi Kamijima 116467 9910 25944 7590 12/31/2003 **EXAMINER** OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC GURLEY, LYNNE ANN P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2812

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,882	KAMIJIMA, AKIFUMI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language profits 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the first sentence of the service was included in the service was included	s have been received. s have been received in Applity documents have been received in Applity documents have been received. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § t sentence of the specification wisional application has been priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. n received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7/9</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device,
     classified in class 438, subclass 622.
  - II. Claim 4, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass735+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, i.e. a product which does not require that the second portion be coupled to an end of the first portion near an (N+1)th sublayer.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Seth Kim on 12/1/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claim 4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-3 have

î

Application/Control Number: 10/614,882

Art Unit: 2812

been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

6. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP8-330736, dated 12/13/96.

JP8-330736 shows the method as claimed in the abstract and figures 1-2, with the metal film 7 being stacked by electroplating to form protrusions. In the figures, the protrusions extend outward more than the sidewall of the first portion of the Nth sublayer.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,882 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 02123511,

dated 5/11/90.

JP 02123511 shows the method as claimed in the abstract and figures 1-2, with the metal film 6 being stacked to form protrusions. In the figures, the protrusions extend

outward more than the sidewall of the first portion of the Nth sublayer.

Prior Art Of Record

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. See Kamijima (US 6,558,516) for a frame plating method..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 703-305-

3474. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 703-308-3325. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-308-

0956.

LYNNE GURLEY
PATENT EXAMINED

art Wit 28

LAG

December 8, 2003